

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,437	08/20/2003		Fumihiko Kobayashi	96790P197D3	8058
8791	7590	10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
		OFF TAYLOR &	NOVACEK, CHRISTY L		
12400 WILSI SEVENTH F		ULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA	90025-1030	2822		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,437	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	KOBAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christy L. Novacek	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rero. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	January 2004.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectraming(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/534,333. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed January 20, 2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed on August 20, 2003 contains a PTO-892 form from a parent application and PTO forms from the parent applications which have already been initialed and signed by an Examiner. Therefore, these papers cannot be resigned by another Examiner. The PTO-892 form is not a proper IDS format. In the interest of compact prosecution, the US references listed in Applicant's IDS are being listed in the attached PTO-892 and they have been considered. All references from Applicant's parent cases have been reviewed and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shima et al. (US 4,946,802) in view of Bridges et al. (US 4,764,246).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Shima discloses forming a plurality of optical semiconductor elements on a semiconductor substrate (1), forming a dummy portion (9) in a center of a square at four corners of which the optical semiconductor elements are arranged and forming a buried layer by epitaxy so as to bury a portion between the optical semiconductor elements and the dummy portion. Shima discloses using liquid phase epitaxy to form the buried layer. Like

Application/Control Number: 10/645,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

Shima, Bridges discloses a process for forming an optical semiconductor element. Bridges teaches that the either the liquid or halide (containing chlorine) vapor phase epitaxy process may be used to form the layers of the element because they are process equivalents for accomplishing the same purpose. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the halide vapor phase epitaxy for the liquid phase epitaxy of Shima because the processes are art recognized equivalents for accomplishing the same purpose.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLN October 18, 2004

ACCION ZARABIAN
OLIPIENISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800